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#### Abstract

The algorithmic method introduced by Fokas and Ablowitz to investigate the transformation properties of Painlevé equations is used to obtain one-to-one correspondence between the Painlevé IV, V and VI equations and the second-order second-degree equations of Painlevé type.


## 1. Introduction

Painlevé and his school addressed a question raised by Picard concerning second-order first-degree ordinary differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is rational in $y^{\prime}$, algebraic in $y$ and locally analytic in $z$, which have the property that singularities other than poles of any of the solutions are fixed [22, 15, 17]. This property is known as the Painlevé property. Within the Möbius transformation, Painlevé and his colleagues found that there are 50 canonical equations of the form (1.1). Among these equations six are irreducible and define classical Painlevé transendents PI-PVI. These may be regarded as nonlinear counterparts of some of the classical special functions. For example, PIII has solutions which have similar properties to the Bessel functions. The solutions of the 11 equations of the remaining 44 equations can be expressed in terms of the solutions of the Painlevé equations PI, PII, or PIV and 33 equations are solvable in terms of the linear equations of order two or three, or are solvable in terms of the elliptic functions.

Although the Painlevé equations were discovered from strictly mathematical considerations they have appeared in many physical problems. Besides their physical importance, the Painlevé equations possess a rich internal structure. Some of these properties can be summarized as follows. (i) For a certain choice of parameters PII-PVI admit oneparameter families of solutions which are either rational or expressible in terms of the classical transcendental functions. For example, PVI admits a one-parameter family of solutions expressible in terms of hypergeometric functions [9]. (ii) There are transformations (Bäcklund or Schlesinger) associated with PII-PVI, these transformations map the solution of a given Painlevé equation to the solution of the same equation but with different values of parameters [11, 19, 20]. (iii) PI-PV can be obtained from PVI by the process of contraction [17]. It is possible to obtain the associated transformations for PII-PV from
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the transformation for PVI. (iv) They can be obtained as the similarity reduction of the nonlinear partial differential equations solvable by the inverse scattering transform (IST). (v) PI-PVI can be considered as the isomonodromic conditions of suitable linear system of ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients possessing both regular and irregular singularities [18]. Moreover, the initial value problem of PI-PVI can be studied by using the inverse monodromy transform (IMT) [12, 13, 21].

The Riccati equation is the only example for the first-order first-degree equation which has the Painlevé property. Before the work of Painlevé and his school, Fuchs [14, 17] considered equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is polynomial in $y$ and $y^{\prime}$, and locally analytic in $z$, such that the movable branch points are absent, that is, the generalization of the Riccati equation. Briot and Bouquet [17] considered a subcase of (1.2), that is, first-order binomial equations of degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{m}+F(z, y)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(z, y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2 m$ in $y$. It was found that there are six types of equation of the form (1.3). However, all these equations are either reducible to a linear equation or solvable by means of elliptic functions [17]. Second-order binomial-type equations of degree $m \geqslant 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{m}+F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is polynomial in $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ and locally analytic in $z$, were considered by Cosgrove [4]. It was found that there are nine classes. Only two of these classes have arbitrary degree $m$ and the others have degree three, four and six. As in the case of first-order binomialtype equations, all these nine classes are solvable in terms of the first, second and fourth Painlevé transcendents, elliptic functions or by quadratures. Chazy [3], Garnier [16] and Bureau [1] considered third-order differential equations possessing the Painlevé property of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is assumed to be rational in $y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}$ and locally analytic in $z$. However, in [1] the special form of $F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)=f_{1}(z, y) y^{\prime \prime}+f_{2}(z, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f_{3}(z, y) y^{\prime}+f_{4}(z, y) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{k}(z, y)$ are polynomials in $y$ of degree $k$ with analytic coefficients in $z$ was considered. In this class no new Painlevé transcendents were discovered and all of them are solvable either in terms of the known functions or one of six Painlevé transcendents.

Second-order second-degree Painlevé-type equations of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=E\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+F\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ and $F$ are assumed to rational in $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ and locally analytic in $z$ were the subject of [2, 8]. In [2] the special case of (1.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=M\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right)+\sqrt{N\left(z, y, y^{\prime}\right)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

was considered, where $M$ and $N$ are polynomials of degree 2 and 4 respectively in $y^{\prime}$, rational in $y$ and locally analytic in $z$. Also, in this classification, no new Painlevé transcendents were found. In [8], the special form, $E=0$ and hence $F$ polynomial in $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ of (1.7) was considered and six distinct classes of equations were obtained by using the so-called $\alpha$-method. These classes were denoted by SD-I,...,SD-VI and all are
solvable in terms of the classical Painlevé transcendents (PI, . . , PVI), elliptic functions or solutions of the linear equations.

Second-order second-degree equations of Painlevé type appear in physics [5-7]. Moreover, second-degree equations are also important in determining transformation properties of the Painlevé equations [10, 11]. In [11], the aim was to develop an algorithmic method to investigate the transformation properties of the Painlevé equations. However, certain new second-degree equations of Painlevé type related to PIII and PVI were also discussed. By using the same notation, the algorithm introduced in [11] can be summarized as follows: let $v(z)$ be a solution of any of the 50 Painlevé equations, as listed by Gambier [15] and Ince [17], each of which takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}=P_{1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}+P_{2} v^{\prime}+P_{3} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are functions of $v, z$ and a set of parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. The transformation i.e. Lie-point discrete symmetry which preserves the Painlevé property of (1.9) of the form $u(z ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})=F(v(z ; \boldsymbol{\alpha}), z)$ is the Mobius transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})=\frac{a_{1}(z) v+a_{2}(z)}{a_{3}(z) v+a_{4}(z)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(z, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ solves (1.9) with the set of parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and $u(z ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$ solves (1.9) with the set of parameters $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$. Lie-point discrete symmetry (1.10) can be generalized by involving the $v^{\prime}(z ; \alpha)$, i.e. the transformation of the form $u(z ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})=F\left(v^{\prime}(z ; \alpha), v(z, \alpha), z\right)$. The only transformation which contains $v^{\prime}$ linearly is the one involving the Riccati equation, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})=\frac{v^{\prime}+a v^{2}+b v+c}{d v^{2}+e v+f} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f$ are functions of $z$ only. The aim is to find $a, b, c, d, e, f$ such that (1.11) define a one-to-one invertible map between solutions $v$ of (1.9) and solutions $u$ of some second-order equation of the Painlevé type. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=d v^{2}+e v+f \quad Y=a v^{2}+b v+c \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then differentiating (1.11) and using (1.9) to replace $v^{\prime \prime}$ and (1.11) to replace $v^{\prime}$, one obtains,

$$
\begin{align*}
J u^{\prime}=\left[P_{1} J^{2}-\right. & 2 d J v-e J] u^{2}+\left[-2 P_{1} J Y+P_{2} J+2 a v J+b J\right. \\
& \left.+2 d v Y+e Y-\left(d^{\prime} v^{2}+e^{\prime} v+f^{\prime}\right)\right] u+\left[P_{1} Y^{2}-P_{2} Y\right. \\
& \left.+P_{3}-2 a v Y-b Y+a^{\prime} v^{2}+b^{\prime} v+c^{\prime}\right] \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

There are two distinct cases.
(1) Find $a, \ldots, f$ such that (1.13) reduce to a linear equation for $v$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(u^{\prime}, u, z\right) v+B\left(u^{\prime}, u, z\right)=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having determined $a, \ldots, f$ upon substitution of $v=-B / A$ in (1.11) one can obtain the equation for $u$, which will be one of the 50 Painlevé equations.
(2) Find $a, \ldots, f$ such that (1.13) reduces to a quadratic equation for $v$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(u^{\prime}, u, z\right) v^{2}+B\left(u^{\prime}, u, z\right) v+C\left(u^{\prime}, u, z\right)=0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.11) yields an equation for $u$ which is quadratic in the second derivative. As mentioned before in [11] the aim is to obtain the transformation properties of PII-PVI. Hence, case 1 for PII-PV, and case 2 for PVI was investigated.

In this article, we investigate the transformation of type 2 to obtain the one-to-one correspondence between PIV, PV, PVI and the second-order second-degree Painlevé-type
equations. Similar work has been carried out for PI, PII, PIII in [23]. Some of the seconddegree equations related to PIV-PVI has been obtained in [2,8] without giving the relation between the Painlevé equations but many of them have not been considered in the literature. Instead of having the transformation of the form (1.11) which is linear in $v^{\prime}$, one may use the appropriate transformations related to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{m}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}(z, v)\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{m-j} \quad m>1 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{j}(z, v)$ is a polynomial in $v$, which satisfies the Fuchs theorem concerning the absence of movable critical points [14, 17]. This type of transformation yields the relation between the Painlevé equations PI-PVI and higher-order higher-degree Painlevétype equations. Throughout this article ' denotes the derivative with respect to $z$ and denotes the derivative with respect to $x$.

## 2. Painlevé IV

Let $v(z)$ be a solution of the PIV equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2 v}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} v^{3}+4 z v^{2}+2\left(z^{2}-\alpha\right) v+\frac{\beta}{v} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for PIV the equation (1.13) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4} v^{4}+A_{3} v^{3}+A_{2} v^{2}+A_{1} v+A_{0}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$A_{4}=3\left[d^{2} u^{2}-2 a d u+a^{2}-1\right]$
$A_{3}=2\left[d u^{\prime}+2 d e u^{2}+\left(d^{\prime}-2 a e-2 b d\right) u-\left(a^{\prime}-2 a b+4 z\right)\right]$
$A_{2}=2 e u^{\prime}+\left(2 d f+e^{2}\right) u^{2}+2\left(e^{\prime}-a f-b e-c d\right) u-\left(2 b^{\prime}-b^{2}-2 a c+4 z^{2}-4 \alpha\right)$
$A_{1}=2\left(f u^{\prime}+f^{\prime} u-c^{\prime}\right) \quad A_{0}=-\left(f^{2} u^{2}-2 c f u+c^{2}+2 \beta\right)$.
Now, the aim is to choose $a, b, \ldots, f$ so that (2.2) becomes a quadratic equation for $v$. There are three cases: (1) $A_{4}=A_{3}=0$, (2) $A_{4}=0, A_{3} \neq 0$ and (3) $A_{4} \neq 0$.

Case 1. In this case the only possibility is $e=d=0, a^{2}=1$ and $b=2 a z$. One can always absorb $c$ and $f$ in $u$ by a proper Möbius transformation, and hence, without loss of generality, one can set $c=0$, and $f=1$. Then equation (2.2) takes the following form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(a u+2 a-2 \alpha) v^{2}-2 u^{\prime} v+u^{2}+2 \beta=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the procedure discussed in the introduction yields the following second-order second-degree Painlevé-type equation for $u(z)$
$\left[u^{\prime \prime}-3 a u^{2}-4(a-\alpha) u-2 a \beta\right]^{2}=4 z^{2}\left[u^{\prime 2}-2(a u+2 a-2 \alpha)\left(u^{2}+2 \beta\right)\right]$
and there exits the following one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of PVI and solutions $u(z)$ of the equation (2.5)
$u=v^{\prime}+a v^{2}+2 a z v \quad v=-\frac{u^{\prime \prime}-2 a z u^{\prime}-3 a u^{2}-4(a-\alpha) u-2 a \beta}{4 a z(a u+2 a-2 \alpha)}$.

The change of variable $u(z)=4 a y(x), x=a \sqrt{2} z$ transforms equation (2.5) into the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\ddot{y}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_{3}(y)}{\partial y}\right)^{2}=x^{2}\left[\dot{y}^{2}-Q_{3}(y)\right]  \tag{2.7}\\
& Q_{3}(y):=4 y^{3}+2(a-\alpha) y^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \beta y+\frac{1}{4} \beta(a-\alpha)
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.7) was first obtained by Bureau [2, equation 19.2, p 207] without giving the relation to PV and the special case, $\alpha=a$, of (2.7) was solved in terms of the first Painlevé transcendent. However, we have not been able to recover this relation.

Case 2. In this case $d=0, a^{2}=1$ and to reduce the equation (2.2) to a quadratic equation for $v$ one should take $e \neq 0$. Since one can always absorb $b$ and $e$ in $u$ by a proper Möbious transformation, without loss of generality one may take $b=0, e=1$. Now equation (2.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v+f)\left(A v^{2}+B v+C\right)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=4(a u+2 z) \quad B=-\left[2 u^{\prime}+u^{2}-2 a f u+2\left(a c+4 z f-2 z^{2}+2 \alpha\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=f u^{2}-2\left(f^{\prime}-a f^{2}\right) u+2 c^{\prime}+2 f\left(a c+4 z f-2 z^{2}+2 \alpha\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c, f$ satisfy the following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(f^{\prime}-a f^{2}-c\right)=0 \quad 2 f\left[c^{\prime}+f\left(a c+4 z f-2 z^{2}+2 \alpha\right)\right]=c^{2}+2 \beta \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \neq 0$, then equations (2.10) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=f^{\prime}-a f^{2} \quad f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2 f}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} f^{3}-4 z f^{2}+2\left(z^{2}-\alpha\right) f+\frac{\beta}{f} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}$, and $u(z)=\xi(z) y(x)+\eta(z), x=\zeta(z)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta(z)=\frac{1}{f}\left(f^{\prime}-a f^{2}+\mu\right) \\
& \xi(z)=\exp \left[-\int^{z}\{\eta(\hat{z})+a f(\hat{z})\} \mathrm{d} \hat{z}\right]  \tag{2.12}\\
& \zeta(z)=-\frac{1}{2} \int^{z} \xi(\hat{z}) \mathrm{d} \hat{z} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then one obtains the following second-order second-degree equation of Painlevé type for $y(x)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[4\left(y+a_{0}\right)(\ddot{y}-2 y \dot{y})-\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}-P_{2}(y)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)-Q_{3}(y)\right]^{2}} \\
==\left[\dot{y}-y^{2}-R_{2}(y)\right]^{2}\left[\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}-S_{3}(y)\right] \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{2}(y)=2 p^{-2}\left[3 p^{2} y^{2}+2\left(p^{2} a_{0}-2 a h-2 p q\right) y-p^{2} a_{0}^{2}\right. \\
\left.\quad-a_{0}(7 a h+8 p q)+2 \alpha-a \mu-2 a\right] \\
Q_{3}(y)=16 p^{-2}\left(y+a_{0}\right)\left[h y^{2}+(h+2 \mu) y+\mu\right] \\
R_{2}(y)=2 p^{-2}\left[5 p^{2} y^{2}+2 p\left(3 p a_{0}+2 q\right) y+p^{2} a_{0}^{2}\right.  \tag{2.14}\\
\left.+(4 p q-a h) a_{0}-2 \alpha+a \mu+2 a\right] \\
S_{3}(y)=16 a p^{-2} y\left(y+a_{0}\right)(h y+2 \mu) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficients in equations (2.13) and (2.14) are given as follows

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h=2 \mu x+v & |\mu|+|v| \neq 0 & v=\text { constant } \\
p(x):=\xi(z) & q(x):=\eta(z) & a_{0}:=\frac{1}{\xi(z)}[\eta(z)+2 a z] \tag{2.15}
\end{array}
$$

The functions $p(x), q(x)$, and $a_{0}(x)$ satisfy the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& p \dot{p}-2(p q+a h)=0 \quad p \dot{q}+p^{2} a_{0}^{2}-2(p q+2 h) a_{0}-2(a \mu-2 \alpha)=0 \\
& p^{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{0}^{2}\right)-a h a_{0}-2 \alpha+a \mu+2 a=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of the PIV and solutions $y(x)$ of the equation (2.13) is given as follows
$y=\frac{v^{\prime}+a v^{2}-\eta v-\mu}{\xi(v+f)} \quad 4 a\left(y+a_{0}\right) v^{2}+p\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right) v+y(h y+2 \mu)=0$.
If $f=0$, then equation (2.10) gives $c=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}$. Thus $C=0$ and equation (2.8) gives a linear equation for $v$. Then one obtains the following transformation for the PIV equation [11]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{v}=\frac{1}{2 v}\left[v^{\prime}-v^{2}-2 z v-(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}\right]  \tag{2.18}\\
& \bar{\alpha}=\frac{1}{4}\left[2-2 \alpha+3(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}\right] \quad \bar{\beta}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}\right]^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3. In this case equation (2.2) can be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{2}+\tilde{g} v+\tilde{h}\right)\left(B_{2} v^{2}+B_{1} v+B_{0}\right)=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}, j=1,2,3$ are functions of $u^{\prime}, u, z$ and $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}$ are functions of $z$ only. One may consider the two subcases (3.1) $d=0$, and (3.2) $d \neq 0$ separately.

Case 3.1. If $d=0$, then one must take $f=\tilde{g}=\tilde{h}=0$ and $c=\mu, \mu:=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}$. Since $e \neq 0$ then without loss of generality one can take $b=0, e=1$. The quadratic equation for $v$ becomes
$3\left(a^{2}-1\right) v^{2}-2\left(a u+a^{\prime}+4 z\right) v+2 u^{\prime}+u^{2}+2\left(\mu a-2 z^{2}+2 \alpha\right)=0$.
One may distinguish between the two cases $a^{2}+3=0$ and $a^{2}+3 \neq 0$.

Case 3.1.1. If $a^{2}+3=0$, then by using the change of variable $u=2\left(\nu y+\frac{1}{a v} x\right), x=v z$, where $v$ is a nonzero constant, one obtains the following second-order second-degree equation of Painlevé type for $y(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\ddot{y}-\lambda x \dot{y}+\left(\lambda-2 \lambda^{2} x^{2}\right) y-2 \kappa \lambda x\right]^{2}=-4\left(y^{2}+\sigma\right)^{2}(\dot{y}+\lambda x y+\kappa) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\lambda=\frac{-2}{a \nu^{2}} \quad \kappa=\frac{1}{2 \nu^{2}}\left(2 \alpha+a \mu+\frac{2}{a}\right) \quad \sigma=\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}\left(2 \alpha-a \mu-\frac{2}{a}\right)$.

The one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of the PIV and solution $y(x)$ of the equation (2.21) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=\frac{a v^{\prime}-3 v^{2}-2 z v+a \mu}{2 a v v}  \tag{2.23}\\
& 3 v^{2}+2 a v(y-\lambda x) v-v^{2}\left(\dot{y}+y^{2}-\lambda x y+\lambda^{2} x^{2}+\kappa\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.21) was first obtained by Cosgrove [8], and was labelled as SD-IV ${ }^{\prime}$.A.

Case 3.1.2. If $a^{2}+3 \neq 0$, let $z=r(x), a(z):=s(x)$, and $u(z)=p(x) y(x)+q(x)$, where $p(x), q(x)$ and $r(x)$ satisfy the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left(s^{2}+3\right) \dot{r}=6\left(s^{2}-1\right) \quad\left(s^{2}+3\right)(\dot{p}-2 q)=4 s\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{\dot{r}}+4 r\right) \\
& \left(s^{2}+3\right)\left(p \dot{q}-q \dot{p}+q^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{\dot{r}}+4 r\right)^{2}-6\left(s^{2}-1\right)\left(\mu s-2 r^{2}+2 \alpha\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

such that $\left(s^{2}-1\right)\left(s^{2}+3\right) \neq 0$. Then the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p y+q=\frac{v^{\prime}+a v^{2}+\mu}{v} \quad A v^{2}+B v+C=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=3\left(s^{2}-1\right) \quad B=-2\left(2 s p y+2 s q+\frac{\dot{s}}{\dot{r}}+4 r\right) \\
& C=\frac{1}{3\left(s^{2}-1\right)} {\left[p^{2}\left(s^{2}+3\right) \dot{y}+3 p^{2}\left(s^{2}-1\right) y^{2}\right.}  \tag{2.26}\\
&\left.+4 p s\left(2 s q+\frac{\dot{s}}{\dot{r}}+4 r\right) y+\left(2 s q+\frac{\dot{s}}{\dot{r}}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

give a one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of the PIV and solutions $y(x)$ of the following second-order second-degree equation of Painlevé type
$\left[\ddot{y}+2\left(y+a_{0}\right) \dot{y}-4 y^{2}\left(y+a_{0}\right)\right]^{2}=\frac{-16}{\left(s^{2}+3\right)^{2}}\left[s\left(s^{2}-9\right) y^{2}+c_{1} y+c_{0}\right]^{2}\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)$.
The functions $a_{0}, c_{1}, c_{0}$ are given in terms of the functions $p(x), q(x), r(x)$ and $s(x)$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0}= & \frac{1}{p\left(s^{2}+3\right)}\left[2 q\left(s^{2}+3\right)+\frac{s\left(s^{2}-9\right)}{6\left(s^{2}-1\right)} p \dot{s}+16 r s\right] \\
c_{1}= & \frac{2}{p}\left[s q\left(s^{2}-9\right)-2 r\left(5 s^{2}+3\right)\right]+\frac{\left(s^{2}+3\right)^{2}}{6\left(s^{2}-1\right)} \dot{s} \\
c_{0}= & -\frac{\left(s^{2}+3\right)^{2}}{12\left(s^{2}-1\right)} \ddot{s}+\frac{s\left(s^{2}+3\right)\left(s^{2}+15\right)}{18\left(s^{2}-1\right)^{2}}(\dot{s})^{2}  \tag{2.28}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left[s q^{2}\left(s^{2}-9\right)-4 r q\left(5 s^{2}+3\right)-32 s r^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{3}{p^{2}}\left(s^{2}-1\right)\left[\mu\left(s^{2}-3\right)+4\left(s r^{2}-\alpha s+1\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3.2. If $d \neq 0$, then without lose of generality one can take $a=0, d=1$. Equation (2.2) can be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{2}+\tilde{g} v+f\right)\left(B_{2} v^{2}+B_{1} v+B_{0}\right)=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{2}=3\left(u^{2}-1\right) \quad B_{1}=2 u^{\prime}+(4 e-3 \tilde{g}) u^{2}-4 b u+3 \tilde{g}-8 z \\
B_{0}=2(e-\tilde{g}) u^{\prime}+\left(e^{2}-\tilde{g}^{2}-f\right) u^{2}+2\left(e^{\prime}+2 b \tilde{g}-b e-c\right) u  \tag{2.30}\\
-\left(2 b^{\prime}-b^{2}+4 z^{2}-4 \alpha\right)-\tilde{g}(3 \tilde{g}-8 z)+3 f
\end{gather*}
$$

and $b, c, e, f, \tilde{g}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{g}(e-\tilde{g})=0 \quad f(e-\tilde{g})=0 \\
& f\left(e^{\prime}+b e-2 c\right)=0 \quad f+2 b f=\tilde{g}\left(e^{\prime}+b e-c\right) \\
& f\left(2 b^{\prime}-b^{2}+3 e^{2}-8 z e-3 f+4 z^{2}-4 \alpha\right)=c^{2}+2 \beta  \tag{2.31}\\
& \tilde{g}\left(2 b^{\prime}-b^{2}+3 e^{2}-8 z e+4 z^{2}-4 \alpha\right)=2 c^{\prime}+2 f(3 e-4 z)
\end{align*}
$$

The following three subcases (3.2.1) $f=\tilde{g}=0$, (3.2.2) $f=0, \tilde{g} \neq 0,(3.2 .3) f \neq 0$ may be considered separately.

Case 3.2.1. If $f=\tilde{g}=0$, then equation (2.31) gives $c=\mu, \mu^{2}+2 \beta=0$. Let $z=r(x), u(z)=p(x) y(x)+q(x)$ where $p(x), q(x)$ and $r(x)$ are solutions of the following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \dot{r}=1 \quad \dot{p}=2(t+s q) \quad p \dot{q}=s q^{2}+2 t q-3 s+4 r \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t(x)$ and $s(x)$ are arbitrary functions. Moreover, defining $b(z):=t(x), e(z):=s(x)$ then the quadratic equation for $v$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(y^{2}+2 a_{1} y+a_{0}\right) v^{2}+2\left(\dot{y}-s y^{2}\right) v+\left(2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)=0 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=p^{-1} q \quad a_{0}=p^{-2}\left(q^{2}-1\right) \quad c_{1}=\dot{s}+p^{-1}(t s-\mu) \\
& c_{0}=p^{-2}\left[2 p q c_{1}-\left(2 p \dot{t}-t^{2}-8 r s+3 s^{2}+4 r^{2}-4 \alpha\right)\right] \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $c_{1}$ and $c_{0}$ are not both zero, then equations (2.33) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p y+q=\frac{v^{\prime}+b v+c}{v^{2}+e v} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

give one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of the PIV and solutions $y(x)$ of the following second-order second-degree Painlevè-type equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[P_{3}(y)\left(\ddot{y}-2 s y \dot{y}-\dot{s} y^{2}\right)-2 Q_{2}(y)\left(\dot{y}-s y^{2}\right)^{2}-R_{4}(y)\left(\dot{y}-s y^{2}\right)+P_{3}(y) F_{2}(y)\right]^{2}} \\
=\left[2 F_{2}(y)\left(\dot{y}-s y^{2}\right)+G_{4}(y)\right]^{2}\left[\left(\dot{y}-s y^{2}\right)^{2}-P_{3}(y)\right] \tag{2.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{3}(y)=6\left(c_{1} y^{3}+g_{2} y^{2}+g_{1} y+g_{0}\right) \\
& Q_{2}(y)=5 c_{1} y^{2}+2\left(g_{2}+2 a_{1} c_{1}\right) y+3 g_{1}-2 a_{1} c_{0} \\
& R_{4}(y)=3\left[3 s c_{1} y^{4}+\left(\dot{c}_{1}+2 s g_{2}\right) y^{3}+\left(\dot{g}_{2}+s g_{1}\right) y^{2}+\dot{g}_{1} y+\dot{g}_{0}\right] \\
& F_{2}(y)=c_{1} y^{2}-2\left(g_{2}-4 a_{1} c_{1}\right) y+2 g_{1}-3 a_{1} c_{0} \\
& G_{4}(y)=3\left[s c_{1} y^{4}+\left(\dot{c_{1}}+\frac{\dot{p}}{p} c_{1}+4 s a_{1} c_{1}\right) y^{3}\right.  \tag{2.37}\\
& \quad+\left(\dot{g}_{2}+\frac{\dot{p}}{p} g_{2}-4 \dot{a}_{1} c_{1}+3 s g_{1}-2 s a_{1} c_{0}\right) y^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\dot{g_{1}}+\frac{\dot{p}}{p} g_{1}-2 \dot{a}_{0} c_{1}-2 \dot{a}_{1} c_{0}+2 s g_{0}\right) y+\dot{g_{0}}+\frac{\dot{p}}{p} g_{0}-\dot{a}_{0} c_{0}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{0}+4 a_{1} c_{1}\right) \quad g_{1}=a_{0} c_{1}+a_{1} c_{0} \quad g_{0}=\frac{1}{2} a_{0} c_{0} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $c_{1}=c_{0}=0$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\prime}+b e-c=0 \quad 2 b^{\prime}-b^{2}+3 e^{2}-8 z e+4\left(z^{2}-\alpha\right)=0 \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation (2.33) reduces to a linear equation for $v$. In this case $w=\frac{u+1}{u-1}$ solves PXLII in [17, p 341].

Case 3.2.2. If $f=0, g \neq 0$, then equation (2.31) gives $g=e$, and
$c=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2} \quad e^{\prime}+b e-(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}=0 \quad 2 b^{\prime}-b^{2}+3 e^{2}-8 z e+4\left(z^{2}-\alpha\right)=0$.

In this case, $B_{0}=0$ in equation (2.30) and equation (2.29) is linear in $v, B_{2} v+B_{1}=0$. This case is the same as case 3.2.1 when $c_{1}=c_{0}=0$.

Case 3.2.3. If $f \neq 0$, then equation (2.31) gives $g=e$ and
$c=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{\prime}+b e\right) \quad f^{\prime}+2 b f-c e=0 \quad f\left[2 c^{\prime}+f(3 e-8 z)\right]=e\left(c^{2}+2 \beta\right)$
$f\left(2 b^{\prime}-b^{2}+3 e^{2}-8 z e-3 f+4 z^{2}-4 \alpha\right)=c^{2}+2 \beta$.
Note that if $e=0$, then equations (2.41) imply $c=0, f=0$ which contradicts the assumption $f \neq 0$, thus one has to take $e \neq 0$. Let $\mu=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}$ and let $u(z)=\xi(z) y(x)+\eta(z), x=\zeta(z)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi(z)=\exp \left[-\int^{z} \frac{f^{\prime}(\hat{z})+\mu e(\hat{z})}{f(\hat{z})} \mathrm{d} \hat{z}\right] \\
& \zeta(z)=-\frac{1}{2} \int^{z} \xi(\hat{z}) e(\hat{z}) \mathrm{d} \hat{z}  \tag{2.42}\\
& \eta(z)=\frac{1}{2 f}\left(e^{\prime}+b e+2 \mu\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the equation (2.29) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left[p y^{2}+2 q y+p^{-1}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\right] v^{2}-s\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right) v-y(h y+2 \mu)=0 \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lcr}
h(x)=2 \mu x+v & |\mu|+|v| \neq 0 & v=\text { constant }  \tag{2.44}\\
p(x):=\xi(z) & q(x):=\eta(z) & s(x):=\xi(z) e(z) .
\end{array}
$$

Equation (2.43) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{v^{\prime}-\eta v^{2}-(e \eta-b) v-\mu}{\xi\left(v^{2}+e v+f\right)} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

give one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of the PIV and solutions $y(x)$ of the following second-order second-degree Painlevé equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[F_{2}(y)(\ddot{y}-2 y \dot{y})-2(p y+q)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}-P_{3}(y)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)+F_{2}(y) Q_{3}(y)\right]^{2}} \\
=\left[2(p y+q)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)-R_{3}(y)\right]^{2}\left[\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}+S_{4}(y)\right] \tag{2.46}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{2}(y)=3\left[p y^{2}+2 q y+p^{-1}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\right] \\
& \begin{aligned}
P_{3}(y)= & 3\left[2 p y^{3}+\left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{p}-p \frac{\dot{s}}{s}+3 q\right) y^{2}+\left(\dot{q}-2 q \frac{\dot{s}}{s}+\frac{q^{2}-1}{p}\right) y+\frac{1}{p} q \dot{q}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{p}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{\dot{p}}{2 p}+\frac{\dot{s}}{s}\right)\right]
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& Q_{3}(y)= 4 s^{-2}\left\{4 h p y^{3}+(7 h q+5 \mu p) y^{2}+\left[8 \mu q+3 h p^{-1}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\right] y\right. \\
&\left.\quad+3 \mu p^{-1}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\right\}
\end{aligned} \\
& R_{3}(y)=3\left[2 p y^{3}+6 q y^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\left(q \dot{p}-p \dot{q}+5 q^{2}-3\right) y+\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left(q^{2}-1\right)(\dot{p}+q)-\frac{1}{p} q \dot{q}\right]
\end{aligned} S_{S_{4}(y)=12 s^{-2} y(h y+2 \mu)\left[p y^{2}+2 q y+p^{-1}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\right] .} .
$$

## 3. Painlevé V

Let $v(z)$ be a solution of the fifth Painlevé equation, PV ,
$v^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3 v-1}{2 v(v-1)}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{z} v^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha}{z^{2}} v(v-1)^{2}+\frac{\beta(v-1)^{2}}{z^{2} v}+\frac{\gamma}{z} v+\frac{\delta v(v+1)}{v-1}$.
Equation (1.13) becomes a fifth-order polynomial in $v$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5} v^{5}+A_{4} v^{4}+A_{3} v^{3}+A_{2} v^{2}+A_{1} v+A_{0}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{5}=(d u-a)^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha}{z^{2}} \\
& A_{4}= 2\left(d u^{\prime}+d^{\prime} u-a^{\prime}\right)-3(d u-a)^{2}+\frac{2}{z}(d u-a)+\frac{6 \alpha}{z^{2}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
A_{3}= & 2\left(e u^{\prime}+e^{\prime} u-b^{\prime}\right)-2\left(d u^{\prime}+d^{\prime} u-a^{\prime}\right)-(e u-b)^{2}+\frac{2}{z}(e u-b) \\
& \quad-2(d u-a)\left[2(e u-b)+(f u-c)+\frac{1}{z}\right]-\frac{6 \alpha}{z^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta}{z^{2}}-\frac{2 \gamma}{z}-2 \delta
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
A_{2}= & 2\left(f u^{\prime}+f^{\prime} u-c^{\prime}\right)-2\left(e u^{\prime}+e^{\prime} u-b^{\prime}\right)-(e u-b)^{2}-\frac{2}{z}(e u-b)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
-2(f u-c)\left[2(e u-b)+(d u-a)-\frac{1}{z}\right]+\frac{2 \alpha}{z^{2}}+\frac{6 \beta}{z^{2}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{z}-2 \delta
$$

$A_{1}=-\left[2\left(f u^{\prime}+f^{\prime} u-c^{\prime}\right)+3(f u-c)^{2}+\frac{2}{z}(f u-c)+\frac{6 \beta}{z^{2}}\right]$
$A_{0}=(f u-c)^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{z^{2}}$.
Note that if $A_{5}=0$, then $A_{4}=0$. Therefore in order to reduce (3.2) to a quadratic equation for $v$ one must consider the following three cases: (1) $A_{5}=A_{3}=0$, (2) $A_{5}=0, A_{3} \neq 0$ and (3) $A_{5} \neq 0$.

Case 1. If $A_{5}=A_{3}=0$, then $d=e=0$. Thus one should take $f \neq 0$ and hence without loss of generality $c=0, f=1$. This gives $a=\alpha=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 b^{\prime}+b^{2}+\frac{2}{z} b+\frac{2 \beta}{z^{2}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{z}+2 \delta=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z u(z)=p(x) y(x)+\mu, z=r(x)=\exp \left[-2 \int^{x} \frac{1}{p(\hat{x})} \mathrm{d} \hat{x}\right]$, where $p(x)=2 \mu x+v$, $\mu=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}$, and $v$ is a constant such that $|\mu|+|\nu| \neq 0$. Then the quadratic equation for $v$ can be written as
$y\left(y+2 \mu p^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)-2\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)=0$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left(p^{2} c_{1}^{2}+2 \delta r^{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{p}[\mu-z b(z)]$ satisfies the Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{c_{1}}+c_{1}^{2}+2(\gamma+\delta r) r p^{-2}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.5) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=p^{-1}\left[z\left(v^{\prime}+b v\right)-\mu\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

give one-to-one correspondence between a solution $v(z)$ of PV and a solution $y(x)$ of the following second-order second-degree equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[2\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)(\ddot{y}-2 y \dot{y})-\left(y+c_{1}\right)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}-P_{3}(y)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)+Q_{5}(y)\right]^{2}} \\
& \quad=\left[\left(y+c_{1}\right)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)-R_{3}(y)\right]^{2}\left[\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}+8 y\left(y+2 \mu p^{-1}\right)\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)\right] \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{3}(y)=4 y^{3}+ 2\left(3 c_{1}-\mu p^{-1}\right) y^{2}-4 p^{-2}\left(\mu p c_{1}+\gamma r\right) y \\
& \quad-2 p^{-3}\left[p^{3} c_{1}^{3}+\mu p^{2} c_{1}^{2}+2(\gamma+\delta r) r p c_{1}+2 \delta(3 \mu+2) r^{2}\right] \\
& Q_{5}(y)=8\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)\left[3 y^{3}+\left(5 c_{1}+4 \mu p^{-1}\right) y^{2}+2\left(3 \mu p^{-1} c_{1}+c_{0}\right) y\right.  \tag{3.10}\\
&\left.+2 \mu p^{-1} c_{0}\right] \\
& R_{3}(y)=8 y^{3}+ 2\left(11 c_{1}+\mu p^{-1}\right) y^{2}+2 p^{-2}\left[10 p^{2} c_{1}^{2}+2 \mu p c_{1}+2(\gamma+6 \delta r) r\right] y \\
&+2 p^{-3}\left[3 p^{3} c_{1}^{3}+\mu p^{2} c_{1}^{2}+2(\gamma+3 \delta r) r p c_{1}+2 \delta(3 \mu+2) r^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2. If $A_{5}=0, A_{3} \neq 0$, then $d=0, a=\frac{(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}}{z}$. If $e=0$, then (3.2) cannot be reduced to a quadratic equation in $v$. Let $e \neq 0$ and without loss of generality let $b=0, e=1$. Then equation (3.2) can be reduced to the following quadratic equation for $v$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3} v^{2}+\left(A_{2}-f A_{3}\right) v+A_{1}-f A_{2}+f^{2} A_{3}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $c$ satisfy the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(f+1)\left(f^{\prime}-a f^{2}-c\right)=0 \\
& (3 f+1)\left(c^{2}+f^{2} a^{2}\right)+2 f^{2}(f-1)(a c+\delta)  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad=(f+1)\left[2 f\left(c^{\prime}+\frac{c}{z}\right)-\frac{2 \gamma}{z} f^{2}-\frac{2 \beta}{z^{2}}(f+1)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

One has to consider the following three cases $f=0, f=-1$ and $f(f+1) \neq 0$ separately.

Case 2.1. If $f=0$, then equation (3.12) gives $z^{2} c^{2}+2 \beta=0$. Thus $A_{0}=A_{1}=0$ and equation (3.2) reduce to a linear equation $A_{3} v+A_{2}=0$ for $v$. Therefore one obtains the following transformation for the PV [11]
$\bar{v}=1-\frac{2(-2 \delta)^{1 / 2} z v}{\left.z v^{\prime}-(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2} v^{2}+\left[(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}-(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}\right)+(-2 \delta)^{1 / 2} z\right] v+(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}}$
$\bar{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{16 \delta}\left\{\gamma+(-2 \delta)^{1 / 2}\left[1-(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}-(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}\right]\right\}^{2}$
$\bar{\beta}=\frac{1}{16 \delta}\left\{\gamma-(-2 \delta)^{1 / 2}\left[1-(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}-(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}\right]\right\}^{2}$
$\left.\bar{\gamma}=(-2 \delta)^{1 / 2}\left[(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}-2 \alpha\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \quad \bar{\delta}=\delta$.

Case 2.2. If $f=-1$, then equation (3.12) gives $(a+c)^{2}+2 \delta=0$. Assume that $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are not both zero, and let $y(x)=z(u-v)-\frac{1}{2}(4 \mu-1), x=\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\kappa}$, where $\mu=(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2}, \quad \nu=(-2 \delta)^{1 / 2}$, and

$$
\kappa= \begin{cases}(2 v)^{-1 / 2} & \text { when } v \neq 0  \tag{3.14}\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text { when } v=0\end{cases}
$$

Then the quadratic equation for $v$ can be written as follows
$\left(y^{2}+2 \mu y+\mu^{2}+2 \beta\right)\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)^{2}+x \dot{y}\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)+\kappa^{2} x^{2}(2 v y-v+2 \gamma)=0$.
The equations (3.15) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{2 z v^{\prime}+(2 \mu-1) v^{2}-(2 v z+4 \mu-1) v+2 \mu}{2(v-1)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

give one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of PV and solutions $y(x)$ of the following second-order second-degree Painlevé-type equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\ddot{y}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_{3}(y)}{\partial y}\right]^{2}=\left[\frac{2}{x} y-x\right]^{2}\left[\dot{y}^{2}-Q_{3}(y)\right]} \\
& Q_{3}(y):=4 y^{3}+\frac{2}{v}[v(4 \mu-1)+2 \gamma] y^{2}+\frac{4}{v}\left[v\left(\mu^{2}-\mu+2 \beta\right)+2 \mu \gamma\right] y  \tag{3.17}\\
& \quad+\frac{2}{v}\left(\mu^{2}+2 \beta\right)(2 \gamma-v)
\end{align*}
$$

when $v \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\ddot{y}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_{2}(y)}{\partial y}\right]^{2}=\frac{4}{x^{2}} y^{2}\left[\dot{y}^{2}-Q_{2}(y)\right]}  \tag{3.18}\\
& Q_{2}(y):=2 \gamma\left(y^{2}+2 \mu y+\mu^{2}+2 \beta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

when $v=0$. The equations (3.17) and (3.18) were obtained by Bureau [2, equations (18.6), (20.5), p 206, 209 resp.] Note that if $\gamma=\delta=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{2 z v^{\prime}+(8 \alpha)^{1 / 2} v^{2}-2\left[(8 \alpha)^{1 / 2}+1\right] v+(8 \alpha)^{1 / 2}+2}{v-1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z w^{\prime \prime}=w w^{\prime} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the first integral $2 z w^{\prime}=w^{2}+2 w+K$, where $K$ is the integration constant.

Case 2.3. If $f(f+1) \neq 0$, then equation (3.12) gives
$c=f^{\prime}-\frac{(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}}{z} f^{2}$
$f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{3 f+1}{2 f(f+1)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{z} f^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha}{z^{2}} f(f+1)^{2}+\frac{\beta(f+1)^{2}}{z^{2} f}+\frac{\gamma}{z} f+\frac{\delta f(f-1)}{f+1}$.
By using the linear transformation $u(z)=\xi(z) y(x)+\eta(z)$ and the change of variable $x=\zeta(z)$, where
$\mu=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2} \quad \xi(z)=\exp \left[-\int^{z} \frac{\hat{z} f^{\prime}(\hat{z})+(\mu+1) f(\hat{z})+\mu}{\hat{z} f(\hat{z})} \mathrm{d} \hat{z}\right]$
$\zeta(z)=-\frac{1}{2} \int^{z} \xi(\hat{z})[f(\hat{z})+1] \mathrm{d} \hat{z} \quad \eta(z)=\frac{1}{z f}\left(z f^{\prime}-(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2} f^{2}+\mu\right)$
one can write the quadratic equation for $v$ as follows
$\frac{\phi}{(\phi+1)} y\left(y+2 a_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)-2\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)=0$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(x)=2 \mu x+v \quad a_{1}=\frac{\mu}{h} \quad|\mu|+|v| \neq 0 \\
& \phi(x):=f(z) \quad c_{1}=\frac{1}{\xi(f+1)}[\eta(f+1)-a-c]  \tag{3.24}\\
& c_{0}=c_{1}^{2}+\frac{2 \delta r^{2} \phi^{2}}{h^{2}(\phi+1)^{2}} \quad r(x)=\exp \left[-2 \int \frac{\phi(x)}{h(\phi+1)} \mathrm{d} x\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\phi}+2 \phi c_{1}+\frac{2(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}}{h} \phi^{2}-\frac{2 \mu}{h} \phi=0 \\
& \dot{c_{1}}+c_{1}^{2}+\frac{2 \gamma r \phi^{2}}{h^{2}(\phi+1)^{2}}+\frac{2 \delta r^{2} \phi^{2}(\phi-1)}{h^{2}(\phi+1)^{3}}=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3.23) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{1}{z \xi(v+f)}\left(z v^{\prime}+(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2} v^{2}-z \eta v-\mu\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

give a one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of the PV and solution $y(x)$ of the following equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[2\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)(\ddot{y}-2 y \dot{y})-\left(y+c_{1}\right)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}-P_{3}(y)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)+Q_{5}(y)\right]^{2}} \\
=\left[\left(y+c_{1}\right)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)-R_{3}(y)\right]^{2}\left[\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}+S_{4}(y)\right] \tag{3.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{3}(y)=4 y^{3}+2\left(3 c_{1}+\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2 \phi(\phi+1)}-a_{1}\right) y^{2} \\
& +2\left[\dot{c}_{1}+c_{0}+c_{1}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2 \phi(\phi+1)}-a_{1}\right)\right] y \\
& +\dot{c}_{0}+2 c_{0}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2 \phi(\phi+1)}-a_{1}\right) \\
& Q_{5}(y)=\frac{8 \phi}{\phi+1}\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right)\left[3 y^{3}+\left(5 c_{1}+4 a_{1}\right) y^{2}+2\left(3 a_{1} c_{1}+c_{0}\right) y+2 a_{1} c_{0}\right]  \tag{3.28}\\
& R_{3}(y)=\frac{4(2 \phi+1)}{(\phi+1)} y^{3}+2\left[a_{1}-\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2 \phi(\phi+1)}+\frac{(11 \phi+5)}{(\phi+1)} c_{1}\right] y^{2} \\
& +2\left[\frac{4 \phi}{(\phi+1)} c_{1}^{2}+\frac{(5 \phi+3)}{(\phi+1)} c_{0}-2 c_{1}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2 \phi(\phi+1)}-a_{1}\right)-\dot{c_{1}}\right] y \\
& -2 c_{0}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2 \phi(\phi+1)}-a_{1}\right)-\dot{c_{0}}+\frac{4 \phi c_{0} c_{1}}{\phi+1} \\
& S_{4}(y)=\frac{8 \phi}{\phi+1} y\left(y+2 a_{1}\right)\left(y^{2}+2 c_{1} y+c_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3. If $A_{5} \neq 0$, then equation (3.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{3}+\tilde{g} v^{2}+\tilde{h} v+\tilde{k}\right)\left(B_{2} v^{2}+B_{1} v+B_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}, j=0,1,2$ are functions of $u^{\prime}, u, z$ and $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{k}$ are functions of $z$ only. If $\tilde{k}=0$, then one obtains $A_{0}=0$, and hence $f=0, z^{2} c^{2}+2 \beta=0$. This implies that $A_{1}=0$, and (3.2) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5} v^{3}+A_{4} v^{2}+A_{3} v+A_{2}=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation (1.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{v^{\prime}+b v+c}{v^{2}+e v} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The discrete Lie-point symmetry of PV [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}=\frac{1}{v} \quad \bar{\alpha}=-\beta \quad \bar{\beta}=-\alpha, \bar{\gamma}=-\gamma \quad \bar{\delta}=\delta \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

transform this case to case 2 .

When $\tilde{k} \neq 0$, one should take $\gamma=0, d \neq 0$, and without loss of generality $a=0, d=$ 1. The functions $e, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{k}$ should satisfy $e=-(f+1), \tilde{g}=-(f+2), \tilde{h}=2 f+1, \tilde{k}=-f$, where $b, c, f$ are solutions of the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& (b+c)(f-1)=0 \quad(b+c)\left(c-\frac{1}{z}\right)=0 \\
& (b+c)^{2}+2 \delta=0 \quad f^{\prime}+b(f-1)=0  \tag{3.33}\\
& 2 f\left[b^{\prime}+\frac{b}{z}-b c+\frac{\alpha}{z^{2}} f(f-1)\right]-(f+1) c^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{z^{2}}(f-1)=0
\end{align*}
$$

The equations (1.11) and (1.15) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{v^{\prime}+b v+c}{(v-1)(v-f)} \quad B_{2} v^{2}+B_{1} v+B_{0}=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}=u^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha}{z^{2}} \quad B_{1}=2 u^{\prime}+(f-1) u^{2}+\frac{2}{z} u-\frac{2 \alpha}{z^{2}}(f-1) \\
& B_{0}=\frac{-1}{f}\left[f^{2} u^{2}-2 c f u+c^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{z^{2}}\right] \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

The following two subcases (3.1) $f=1$ and (3.2) $f \neq 1$ should be considered separately.

Case 3.1. If $f=1$, then equation (3.33) gives $b=\frac{2 \mu}{z}+v, c=-\frac{2 \mu}{z}$, where $v=(-2 \delta)^{1 / 2}$, and $\mu$ is a constant such that $v(2 \mu+1)=0$. Let $y(x)=-\mathrm{i}(z u+\mu), x=\ln z$. Then the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=\frac{z v^{\prime}+\mu v^{2}+v z v-\mu}{\mathrm{i}(v-1)^{2}}  \tag{3.36}\\
& \left(y^{2}+2 \mathrm{i} \mu y-\mu^{2}+2 \alpha\right) v^{2}-2 \mathrm{i} \dot{y} v-\left(y^{2}-2 \mathrm{i} \mu y-\mu^{2}-2 \beta\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

give one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of PV and $y(x)$ of the following second-order second-degree Painlevé-type equation
$\left[\ddot{y}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_{4}(y)}{\partial y}\right]^{2}=-[2 y-\mathrm{i} v \exp (x)]^{2}\left[\dot{y}-Q_{4}(y)\right]$
$Q_{4}(y):=y^{4}+2\left(\mu^{2}+\alpha-\beta\right) y^{2}-4 \mathrm{i} \mu(\alpha+\beta) y+\left(\mu^{2}-2 \alpha\right)\left(\mu^{2}+2 \beta\right)$.
When $v=-2 \mathrm{i}$ equation (3.37) becomes
$\left[\ddot{y}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_{4}(y)}{\partial y}\right]^{2}=-4[y-\exp (x)]^{2}\left[\dot{y}-Q_{4}(y)\right]$
$Q_{4}(y):=y^{4}+2\left(\mu^{2}+\alpha-\beta\right) y^{2}-4 \mathrm{i} \mu(\alpha+\beta) y+\left(\mu^{2}-2 \alpha\right)\left(\mu^{2}+2 \beta\right)$.
Equation (3.38) was also obtained by Bureau [2, equation (16.13), p 203] but the relation between (3.38) and PV has not been mentioned.

Case 3.2. If $f \neq 1$, then (3.33) gives $\delta=0, b=-c, c=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f-1}$, and $f$ satisfies PV with $\delta=\gamma=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{(3 f-1)}{2 f(f-1)}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{z} f^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha}{z} f(f-1)^{2}+\frac{\beta(f-1)^{2}}{z^{2} f} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u(z)=\xi(z) y(x)+\eta(z), x=\zeta(z)$, where
$\mu=(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2} \quad \xi(z)=\exp \left[-\int^{z} \frac{\hat{z} f^{\prime}(\hat{z})+(\mu+1) f(\hat{z})-\mu}{\hat{z} f(\hat{z})} \mathrm{d} \hat{z}\right]$
$\zeta(z)=-\frac{1}{2} \int^{z} \xi(\hat{z})[f(\hat{z})-1] \mathrm{d} \hat{z} \quad \eta(z)=\frac{1}{z f(f-1)}\left[z f^{\prime}+\mu(f-1)\right]$
and let $\phi(x):=f(z)$. Then the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=\frac{z v^{\prime}-z \eta v^{2}+(z \eta+\mu) v-\mu}{z \xi(v-1)(v-f)}  \tag{3.41}\\
& \left(y^{2}+2 a_{1} y+a_{0}\right) v^{2}-(\phi-1)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right) v-\phi y\left(y+2 c_{1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h(x)=2 \mu x+v & c_{1}=\frac{\mu}{h(x)} \quad|\mu|+|v| \neq 0 \\
a_{1}(x)=c_{1}-\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2 \phi} & a_{0}=a_{1}^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha \phi^{2}}{h^{2}} \tag{3.42}
\end{array}
$$

give one-to-one correspondence between solutions $v(z)$ of PV and $y(x)$ of the following second-degree equation
$\left[\ddot{y}-2 y \dot{y}-2\left(y-d_{1}\right)\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_{4}(y)}{\partial y}\right]^{2}=\left(d_{2} y-d_{3}\right)^{2}\left[\left(\dot{y}-y^{2}\right)^{2}+Q_{4}(y)\right]$.
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \phi(\phi-1)} \dot{\phi}+\frac{\mu}{h} \quad d_{2}=\frac{2(\phi+1)}{(\phi-1)} \\
& d_{3}=\frac{1}{\phi(\phi-1)} \dot{\phi}-\frac{2 \mu(\phi+1)}{h(\phi-1)}  \tag{3.44}\\
& Q_{4}(y)=\frac{4 \phi y}{(\phi-1)^{2}}\left[y^{3}+2\left(a_{1}+c_{1}\right) y^{2}+\left(a_{0}+4 a_{1} c_{1}\right) y+2 a_{0} c_{1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and the function $\phi(x)$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\phi}=\frac{3}{2 \phi}(\dot{\phi})^{2}-\frac{\dot{h}}{h} \dot{\phi}+\frac{4 \alpha}{h^{2}} \phi^{3}-\frac{2 \mu^{2}}{h^{2}} \phi \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Painlevé VI

Let $v(z)$ be a solution of PVI

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{v}+\right. & \left.\frac{1}{v-1}+\frac{1}{v-z}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z-1}+\frac{1}{v-z}\right) v^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{v(v-1)(v-z)}{z^{2}(z-1)^{2}}\left(\alpha+\frac{\beta z}{v^{2}}+\frac{\gamma(z-1)}{(v-1)^{2}}+\frac{\delta z(z-1)}{(v-z)^{2}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

then, for PVI the equation (1.13) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{6} v^{6}+A_{5} v^{5}+A_{4} v^{4}+A_{3} v^{3}+A_{2} v^{2}+A_{1} v+A_{0}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{6}=(d u-a)^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha}{z^{2}(z-1)^{2}} \\
& A_{5}=2\left(d u^{\prime}+d^{\prime} u-a^{\prime}\right)-2(z+1)(d u-a)^{2}+\frac{2(2 z-1)}{z(z-1)}(d u-a)+\frac{4 \alpha(z+1)}{z^{2}(z-1)^{2}} \\
& A_{4}=2\left(e u^{\prime}+e^{\prime} u-b^{\prime}\right)-2(z+1)\left(d u^{\prime}+d^{\prime} u-a^{\prime}\right)-(e u-b)^{2}+\frac{2(2 z-1)}{z(z-1)}(e u-b) \\
& -\frac{2}{z^{2}(z-1)^{2}}\left[\alpha\left(z^{2}+4 z+1\right)+\beta z+(\gamma+\delta z)(z-1)\right]-(d u-a) \\
& \times\left[2(z+1)(e u-b)+2(f u-c)-3 z(d u-a)+\frac{2\left(z^{2}+2 z-1\right)}{z(z-1)}\right] \\
& A_{3}=2\left(f u^{\prime}+f^{\prime} u-c^{\prime}\right)-2(z+1)\left(e u^{\prime}+e^{\prime} u-b^{\prime}\right)+2 z\left(d u^{\prime}+d^{\prime} u-a^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\frac{4}{z(z-1)^{2}}[(\alpha+\beta)(z+1)+(\gamma+\delta)(z-1)] \\
& -2(e u-b)\left[2(f u-c)-2 z(d u-a)+\frac{\left(z^{2}+2 z-1\right)}{z(z-1)}\right]  \tag{4.3}\\
& +\frac{2 z}{z-1}(d u-a)+\frac{2(2 z-1)}{z(z-1)}(f u-c) \\
& A_{2}=2 z\left(e u^{\prime}+e^{\prime} u-b^{\prime}\right)-2(z+1)\left(f u^{\prime}+f^{\prime} u-c^{\prime}\right)+z(e u-b)^{2}+\frac{2 z}{z-1}(e u-b) \\
& -\frac{2}{z(z-1)^{2}}\left[\alpha z+\beta\left(z^{2}+4 z+1\right)+(\gamma z+\delta)(z-1)\right]+(f u-c) \\
& {\left[2(z+1)(e u-b)-3(f u-c)+2 z(d u-a)-\frac{2\left(z^{2}+2 z-1\right)}{z(z-1)}\right]} \\
& A_{1}=2 z\left(f u^{\prime}+f^{\prime} u-c^{\prime}\right)+2(z+1)(f u-c)^{2}+\frac{2 z}{z-1}(f u-c)+\frac{4 \beta(z+1)}{(z-1)^{2}} \\
& A_{0}=-z\left[(f u-c)^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{(z-1)^{2}}\right] \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if $A_{6}=0$ then $A_{5}=0$. Moreover, one cannot find the functions $a, b, c, d, e, f$ such that $A_{6}=A_{5}=A_{4}=0$. Thus, to reduce (4.2) to a quadratic equation for $v$ one may consider the following two cases (1) $A_{6}=0, A_{4} \neq 0$ and (2) $A_{6} \neq 0$.

Case 1. If $A_{6}=0$, then one obtains $d=0, a=\frac{(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}}{z(z-1)}$. Note that when $e=0$ equation (4.2) cannot be reduced to a quadratic equation for $v$. Therefore one should take $e \neq 0$, and hence without loss of generality $b=0, e=1$. In this case equation (4.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v+f)^{2}\left(B_{2} v^{2}+B_{1} v+B_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $c$ are solutions of the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(f+1)(f+z)=0 \\
& {\left[z(z-1)\left(a f^{2}+c\right)+f(f+1)\right]^{2}+2 \beta z(f+1)(f+z)+2 \gamma(z-1) f(f+z)}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad+(2 \delta-1) z(z-1) f(f+1)=0
\end{align*}
$$

The following three subcases should be considered separately.

Case 1.1. If $f=0$, then equation (4.5) implies $(z-1)^{2} c^{2}+2 \beta=0$. By using the change of variable
$y(x)=z u-\frac{(\mu+1) z}{2(z-1)}-\frac{\mu-1}{2(z-1)} \quad x=\arcsin \frac{z+1}{z-1} \quad \mu=(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}+(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}$
one may write the quadratic equation for $v$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{z-1}{v-1}-1\right)^{2}+B\left(\frac{z-1}{v-1}-1\right)+C=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =y^{2}+2 \lambda y+\lambda^{2}-2 \gamma \\
B & =-2 \sqrt{\frac{1+\sin x}{1-\sin x}} \dot{y}  \tag{4.8}\\
C & =\frac{\sin x+1}{\sin x-1}\left(y^{2}-2 \lambda y+\lambda^{2}+2 \delta-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}\left[(2 \alpha)^{1 / 2}-(-2 \beta)^{1 / 2}+1\right]$. The second-degree equation for $y(x)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\ddot{y}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q_{4}(y)}{\partial y}\right]^{2}=4 \tan ^{2} x\left[y-\frac{K_{2}}{\sin x}\right]^{2}\left[\dot{y}-Q_{4}(y)\right]} \\
& Q_{4}(y):=y^{4}+\left(2 \delta-2 \gamma-2 \lambda^{2}-1\right) y^{2}+2 \lambda(2 \gamma+2 \delta-1) y  \tag{4.9}\\
& +\left(\lambda^{2}-2 \gamma\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+2 \delta-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{2}=\frac{-\mu}{2}$. The equation (4.9) was obtained by Bureau [2, equation (16.12), p 202] and also by Fokas and Ablowitz [11].

Case 1.2. If $f=-1$, then equation (4.5) gives $z^{2}(a+c)^{2}=2 \gamma$. The quadratic equation for $v$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{z}\right)^{2}+B\left(\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{z}\right)+C=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$A=z\left[u^{2}+2 c u+c^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{(z-1)^{2}}\right] \quad B=\frac{2}{z-1}\left[z(z-1) u^{\prime}+z u+z a+c\right]$
$C=\frac{1}{z(z-1)}\left[(z-1)^{2} u^{2}-2(z-1)\left(z^{2} a+c+1\right) u+\left(z^{2} a+c+1\right)^{2}+2 \delta-1\right]$.
The Lie-point symmetry of PVI [11]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{v}(\bar{z} ; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta})=1-v(z ; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \\
& z=1-\bar{z} \quad \bar{\alpha}=\alpha \quad \bar{\beta}=-\gamma \bar{\gamma}=-\beta \quad \bar{\delta}=\delta \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

transforms this case to the case 1.1

Case 1.3. If $f=-z$, then equation (4.5) gives $(z a+c+1)^{2}=1-2 \delta$. The quadratic equation for $v$ now may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)^{2}+B\left(\frac{1}{v}-1\right)+C=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$A=\frac{1}{z}\left[z^{2} u^{2}+2 z c u+c^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{(z-1)^{2}}\right] \quad B=2\left[u^{\prime}+\frac{(2 z-1)}{z(z-1)} u+\frac{(z a+c)}{z(z-1)}\right]$
$C=-\left[(z-1) u^{2}+2(a+c) u+\frac{(a+c)^{2}}{z-1}-\frac{2 \gamma}{z^{2}(z-1)}\right]$.
The Lie-point symmetry of PVI [11]
$\bar{v}(\bar{z} ; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta})=1-(1-\bar{z}) v(z ; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$
$z=\frac{1}{1-\bar{z}} \quad \alpha=\bar{\alpha} \quad \beta=-\bar{\gamma} \quad \gamma=-\bar{\delta}+\frac{1}{2} \quad \delta=\bar{\beta}+\frac{1}{2}$
transforms this case to the case 1.1.

Case 2. If $A_{6} \neq 0$, then to reduce equation (4.2) to a quadratic equation for $v$ one should take $d \neq 0$ and hence without loss of generality one may take $a=0, d=1$. Then equation (4.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{4}+\tilde{g} v^{3}+\tilde{h} v^{2}+\tilde{k} v+\tilde{l}\right)\left(B_{2} v^{2}+B_{1} v+B_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}, j=1,2,3$ are functions of $u^{\prime}, u, z$ and $b, c, e, f, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{l}$ may be chosen such that one of the following two cases are satisfied.

$$
\text { (i) } e=-(z+1) \quad f=z \quad \tilde{g}=-2(z+1) \quad \tilde{h}=z^{2}+4 z+1
$$ $\tilde{k}=-2 z(z+1) \quad \tilde{l}=z^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{-1}{2 z(z-1)}[(\mu+v) z+\mu-v] \quad c=\frac{\mu}{z-1} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ and $\nu$ are constants such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu+v-\mu v=2(\gamma+\delta) \quad \mu^{2}+v^{2}=2(\mu+v)+4(\gamma-\delta) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $e=-z, \tilde{g}=-2 z, \tilde{h}=z^{2}, f=\tilde{k}=\tilde{l}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z-1)^{2} c^{2}+2 \beta=0 \quad(z b+c+1)^{2}+2 \delta-1=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2.1. With the choices (4.17), (4.18) equations (1.11) and (1.13) take the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{v^{\prime}+b v+c}{(v-1)(v-z)} \quad A v^{2}+B v+C=0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=u^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha}{z^{2}(z-1)^{2}} \quad B=2\left[u^{\prime}+\frac{(2 z-1)}{z(z-1)} u\right] \\
& C=-\left[z u^{2}-2 c u+\frac{1}{z} c^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{z^{2}(z-1)^{2}}\right] \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $y(x)=z(z-1) u-\frac{1}{2} \mu, x=\arcsin \frac{z+1}{z-1}$, then $y(x)$ is a solution of (4.9) with $K_{2}=\frac{v-1}{2}$, and
$Q_{4}(y):=y^{4}+\left(2 \beta-2 \alpha-\frac{1}{2} \mu^{2}\right) y^{2}+2 \mu(\alpha+\beta) y+\left(\frac{1}{4} \mu^{2}-2 \alpha\right)\left(\frac{1}{4} \mu^{2}+2 \beta\right)$.

Using the fact that both subcases 1.1 and 2.1 give the same second-degree equation one obtains the following new Lie-point symmetry for PVI:
$\bar{v}=\frac{v-z}{v-1} \quad \bar{\alpha}=\gamma \quad \bar{\beta}=\delta-\frac{1}{2} \quad \bar{\gamma}=\alpha \quad \bar{\delta}=\beta+\frac{1}{2}$.

Case 2.2. In this case equations (1.11) and (1.13) respectively become

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{v^{\prime}+b v+c}{v(v-z)} \quad A(v-z)^{2}+B(v-z)+C=0 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=u^{2}-\frac{2 \alpha}{z^{2}(z-1)^{2}} \quad B=2\left[u^{\prime}+\frac{(2 z-1)}{z(z-1)} u\right]  \tag{4.25}\\
& C=(z-1) u^{2}+2(b+c) u+\frac{(b+c)^{2}}{z-1}-\frac{2 \gamma}{z^{2}(z-1)}
\end{align*}
$$

The Lie-point symmetry (4.12) of PIV transforms this to case 2.1.
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